

1 KNIGHT & RYAN PLLC
2 Robert A. Ryan, #12084
3 Scott A. Knight, #9083
4 8880 W. Sunset Road. Ste. 130
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
6 (702) 462-6083
7 (702) 462-6084 fax
8 robert@knightryan.com
9 scott@knightryan.com

10 DLA Piper LLP (US).
11 Angela C. Agrusa (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
12 angela.agrusa@dlapiper.com
13 2000 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 400
14 Los Angeles, California 90067-4704
15 (310) 595-3000
16 (310) 595-3300 fax

17 Ellen E. Dew (admitted *pro hac vice*)
18 ellen.dew@us.dlapiper.com
19 650 S. Exeter Street Suite 1100
20 Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4576
21 (410) 580-3000
22 (410) 580-3001 fax

23 *Attorneys for Defendants Aria Resort & Casino, LLC;*
24 *Aria Resort & Casino Holdings, LLC; MGM Resorts International;*
25 *New York New York Hotel & Casino, LLC;*
26 *CityCenter Land, LLC; and Ace A PropCo LLC*

27 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
28 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

A.H., an Individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

**WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada Limited
Liability Company, et al.,**

Defendants.

Case No. 24-cv-1041-GMN-NJK

**ORDER TO
EXTEND DEFENDANTS' ARIA
RESORT & CASINO, LLC; ARIA
RESORT & CASINO HOLDINGS,
LLC; MGM RESORTS
INTERNATIONAL; NEW YORK
NEW YORK HOTEL & CASINO,
LLC; CITYCENTER LAND, LLC;
AND ACE A PROPCO LLC
DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

(FIRST REQUEST)

1 Plaintiff A.H. and Defendants Aria Resort & Casino, LLC; Aria Resort & Casino
2 Holdings, LLC; MGM Resorts International; New York New York Hotel & Casino LLC;
3 CityCenter Land, LLC; and Ace A PropCo LLC (collectively, the “MGM Parties”), by and
4 through their respective counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

5 On July 8, 2024, Defendants Aria Resort & Casino, LLC; Aria Resort & Casino Holdings,
6 LLC; MGM Resorts International; New York New York Hotel & Casino LLC; CityCenter Land,
7 LLC; and Ace A PropCo LLC; filed their motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s amended complaint. (ECF
8 No. 41). Plaintiff timely filed her opposition (ECF No. 46), and the MGM Parties timely filed
9 their reply. (ECF No. 53).

10 On December 30, 2024, this Court granted in part and denied in part the MGM Parties’
11 Motion to Dismiss, dismissing the state law claims without prejudice, but denying the MGM
12 Parties’ motion with respect to Plaintiff’s TVPRA claims. (ECF No. 72).

13 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4)(A), the MGM Parties’ response to Plaintiff’s
14 Amended Complaint would now be due on January 13, 2025. Since the ruling on the MGM
15 Parties’ Motion to Dismiss was entered in between the Christmas and New Year holidays, the
16 MGM Parties need additional time to review the Order and respond to the Plaintiff’s First
17 Amended Complaint in light of the rulings made by this Court. The Plaintiff has agreed to the
18 requested extension.

19 As such, the Plaintiff and the MGM Parties stipulate that the MGM Parties’ response to
20 the First Amended Complaint shall be extended for fourteen (14) days, making the MGM Parties’
21 response due by January 27, 2025.

22 ...

23 ...

24 ...

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: January 13, 2025


Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge